| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | 10/064,166 | HARMS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Victor K. Hwang | 3764 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: |
| (1) Victor K. Hwang. | (3) | |
| (2) Joseph England. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 18 March 2005 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-24 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | oplicant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Initial interview of March 07, 2005 for restriction requirement and to clarify claims 17-24. Subsequent interview to propose that claims 12-17 be amended since they were considered to be allowable. Additionally, claims 22-24 to the method of forming the weights and barbell would be allowable. A subsequent search found prior art that is applied in this Office action and the indicated allowability is withdrawn...